### Micromagnetic Simulation of Domain Wall Pinning and Domain Wall Motion

#### <u>W Scholz</u>, H Forster, J Fidler, T Schrefl Vienna University of Technology

## Outline



### **Micromagnetics**



# **Finite Element Approach**



- divide particles into finite elements
  ⇒ triangles, tetrahedrons
- expand  $\boldsymbol{J}$  with basis function  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$

$$\vec{J}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{nodes} \vec{J}_i \varphi_i(\vec{x})$$

• energy as a function of  $\mathbf{J}_1, \mathbf{J}_1 \dots \mathbf{J}_N$ 

$$E(\vec{J}_1, \vec{J}_2, \dots, \vec{J}_N)$$



$$\vec{H}_{k} = -\frac{1}{V_{k}} \frac{\partial E(\vec{J}_{1}, \vec{J}_{2}, \dots, \vec{J}_{N})}{\partial \vec{J}_{k}}$$

- $\Rightarrow$  effective field on irregular grids
- ⇒ rigid magnetic moment at the **nodes**

# Magnetostatic Field Calculation

- magnetic scalar potential  $\mathbf{H} = -\nabla U$
- solve Gilbert equation simultaneously with
  - $\Rightarrow$  Poisson equation (inside)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Laplace equation (outside)

boundary element method (BEM)

finite element method (FEM)

BEM leads to a fully populated  $N \times N$  matrix

- $\Rightarrow$  N ... number of nodes at the surface
- $\Rightarrow$  matrix compression using wavelets

# Pinning Controlled SmCo Magnets

Characterization of SmCo permanent magnets by transmission electron microscopy

#### Microstructure

- Composition
- Heat treatment
- Additives

#### influence

- Precipitation structure
- Lamella phase
- Cell size



 Lorentz image of two magnetic domains



# Micromagnetic Model

- Finite element mesh: 15833 nodes 84749 tetrahedral elements 7056 surface elements
- Resolution of the mesh: e/10 = D/25for D = 125 nm: 5 nm  $\delta(Sm_2Co_{17}) = 5$  nm



# **Attractive Pinning**



- Cells (Sm<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>17</sub>): Polarization:  $J_s = 1.32 \text{ T}$ Anisotropy:  $K_1 = 5 \text{ MJ/m}^3$ Exchange: A = 14 pJ/m
- Intercellular phase: Polarization:  $J_s = 0.8 \text{ T}$ Anisotropy:  $K_1 = 1.2 \text{ MJ/m}^3$ Exchange: A = 14 pJ/m





magnet.atp.tuwien.ac.at

## **Repulsive Pinning**

- Cells: *D* = 125 nm
- Intercellular phase: Thickness: t = 10 nmAnisotropy:  $K_1 = 9 \text{ MJ/m}^3$



#### Domain wall depinning





### **Pinning Fields**



 Linear behaviour in the regime of repulsive pinning in agreement with a simple analytical 1D-model by Kronmüller (IEEE Trans. Magn. MAG-20 (1984) 1569):

$$H_c^{\max} = \alpha(K_1^{phase} - K_1^{cells}) / M_s^{cells}$$

#### Variation of the Phase Thickness



- Cells  $(Sm_2Co_{17})$ : K<sub>1</sub> = 5 MJ/ m<sup>3</sup>
- Intercellular phase

Attractive pinning:  $K_1=1.2 \text{ MJ/m}^3$ 

Repulsive pinning: K<sub>1</sub>=9.0 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>

#### **Domain Wall Motion**



magnet.atp.tuwien.ac.at

#### **Thick Intercellular Phases**





- Reversal of the whole intercellular phase
- Nucleation field of the cells determines  $\rm H_{\rm c}$

### **Domain Wall Structure**



- d ≤ 20 nm
- domain wall moves slower
- velocity decreases with decreasing damping

- d ≥ 20 nm
- domain wall moves faster
- velocity increases with decreasing damping

#### **Influence of Damping**



transverse wall

#### vortex wall

## **Domain Wall Motion**



magnet.atp.tuwien.ac.at

### Summary

#### **Domain Wall Pinning**

- Different pinning mechanisms depending on the composition
- Repulsive pinning: linear dependence of the pinning field on anisotropy

#### **Domain Wall Motion**

- Different wall configurations depending on the wire thickness
- high domain wall velocity
  - high damping in thin wires (transverse wall)
  - low damping in thick wires (vortex wall)

#### Acknowledgements

#### Austrian Science Fund FWF

Y 132 – PHY: Advanced numerical micromagnetics

#### HITEMAG project

GRD1-1999-11125: Novel Permanent Magnets for High Temperature Applications